arXiv:astro-ph/0207342v1 16 Jul 2002

THE EFFECTS OF ALFVÉN WAVES AND RADIATION PRESSURE IN DUST WINDS OF LATE-TYPE STARS

Falceta-Gonçalves, D. and Jatenco-Pereira, V.
Instituto de Astronomia, Geofísica e Ciências Atmosféricas
Universidade de São Paulo
Rua do Matão, 1226, 05508-900 São Paulo, SP, BRAZIL
diego@astro.iag.usp.br, jatenco@astro.iag.usp.br

Received ____________________; accepted ____________________

ABSTRACT

In the present study, we analyze the effects of a flux of Alfvén waves acting together with radiation pressure on grains as an acceleration mechanism of the wind of late-type stars. In the wind model we simulate the presence of grains through a strong damping of the waves, we use a non-isothermal profile for temperature coherent with grain formation theories. We examine the changes in the velocity profile of the wind and we show that if the grains are created in the region $1.1 < r/r_0 < 2.0$ their presence will affect the mass loss and terminal velocity. The model is applied to a K5 supergiant star and for Betelgeuse ($\alpha\, Ori$).

Subject headings: MHD waves – dust – stars: late-type – mass loss

1. Introduction

Mass loss from late-type stars is evidenced by the blueshifted circumstellar absorption lines present in their spectra. Giant and supergiant stars eject mass at a rate of $\sim 10^{-10} - 10^{-5}\ M_{\odot}\, yr^{-1}$ with terminal velocities $u_{\infty} \sim 10 - 50\ km\, s^{-1}$, which is lower than the surface escape velocity (v_{e0}) (Deutsch 1956; Weymann 1962; Habing 1996; Lamers & Cassinelli 1999; Carpenter et al. 1999). An outward-directed flux of Alfvén waves has been proposed for driving these winds (Belcher & Olbert 1975; Haisch, Linsky & Basri 1980; Hartmann & MacGregor 1980; Jatenco-Pereira & Opher 1989a; MacGregor & Charbonneau 1994; Charbonneau & Macgregor 1995). For late-type giant and supergiant stars, this nonthermal energy flux must be deposited in the region of subsonic flow to explain the observed $u_{\infty} < v_{e0}$ (Hartmann & MacGregor 1980; Leer & Holzer 1980).

We have direct evidence for Alfvén waves in the solar wind. Waves are observed with large perturbations in the magnetic field, with negligible density perturbations, indicating the presence of Alfvén waves. Since the early observation of MHD waves in the solar wind (Belcher & Davis 1971), various authors have suggested that Alfvén waves could be important in transfer momentum to the wind. We know from the solar coronal holes observations that the density is likely to fall off faster than r^{-2} (e.g. Hollweg 1981; Doschek et al. 1997), while the magnetic field strengths is proportional to r^{-2} (Linsky 1987). In our simulations we show that this behavior exists up to $r < 3r_0$. The energy flux per unit area transported by the wave, ϕ_A, is $\phi_A \simeq \epsilon v_A \simeq (1/2)\rho_0 \langle \delta v^2 \rangle v_A$, where ϵ is the energy density of the waves. This energy flux is constant, when there is no damping, or decreases due to damping, such that ϵ decreases with r. Since the pressure associated with the wave is proportional to ϵ, then this pressure also decreases with r. The result of this is a pressure gradient that accelerates the gas (Castor 1981).

Alfvén waves have been used to explain the heating of stellar corona and the production of stellar winds in many regions of the Hertzsprung-Russell (HR) diagram. They are used to explain the solar wind acceleration (Jatenco-Pereira & Opher 1989b; Jatenco-Pereira, Opher & Yamamoto 1994), cool supergiant stars (Charbonneau & Macgregor 1995; Airapetian et al. 2000) and winds in hot stars (Cassinelli 1979; Underhill 1983; dos Santos, Jatenco-Pereira, & Opher 1993). These waves can also be important in T Tauri variables (Hartmann, Edwards & Avrett 1982; Jatenco-Pereira & Opher 1989c; Vasconcelos, Jatenco-Pereira & Opher 2000). The origin of Alfvén waves was suggested by Opher & Pereira (1986) to be the annihilation of twisted magnetic fields near the surface. These annihilation sites that could also explain the variable hot-spots observed in late-type stars (Gray 2000, 2001; Young, Baldwin, Boysen et al. 2000). The waves can also be generated by convective motions inner in the envelope, that create perturbations in the magnetic fields.

On the other hand, in cool supergiant stars, the presence of grains are well know determined by infrared excesses (Woolf & Ney 1969). However, the region of nucleation is not precisely determined. These grains are supposed to be the main responsible for radiation absorption, and also are supposed to contribute in the acceleration of the wind (e.g. Jura 1986; Elitzur & Ivezic 2001). The temperature profile of the wind for these stars is also not very well known, but by nucleation theories (Gail & Sedlmayr 1987) the temperature range for grain formation is $400\,K < T < 2500\,K$.

Besides, the high luminosity of these stars have lead many authors to include the radiation pressure as a mechanism to explain the mass loss. MacGregor and Stencel (1992) studied the interaction between gas and dust in the curcumstellar envelope of late-type stars. In their analysis the grains and gas are well coupled if the grain radius is $\sim 10^{-5}\ cm$ while for small grains $< 5 \times 10^{-6}\ cm$, the collision momentum transfer is insufficient to induce expansion of the atmosphere as a whole.

Jatenco-Pereira and Opher (1989a) (hereafter JPO), presented a model for mass loss in a cool supergiant K5 star with $M = 16M_{\odot}$, where a flux of Alfvén waves flux (ϕ_A) is the main mechanism for accelerating the wind. The model solves the equations along the magnetic field axis, and assumes (i) a divergent geometry for magnetic field lines, (ii) a pure plasma (without dust) and (iii) isothermal wind. Holzer, Fla and Leer (1983) argued that wind driven by Alfvén waves from late-type stars only would be possible in an average ion-friction damping length $L_f \sim 0.85 - 1.0r_0$. Since L_f is proportional to P^2 (where P is the average Alfvén period), this requires that nature fine-tune $P \sim 1.77 \times 10^4\ s$. For long wave period ($P > 10^4\ s$), the wave damping length is large and the ion-neutral friction damping is not important (De Pontieu, Martens & Hudson 2001). On the other hand, Davila (1985) emphasized that on the surface of the Sun one might expect that boundary effects may be important, and a WKB approximation may not be valid whenever the MHD wavelength (λ) becomes greater than $d \sim 10^{10}\ cm\ \sim 0.14r_0$, the characteristic transverse dimension of a solar coronal hole, in despite of Usmanov et al. (2000) that used a WKB approximation for the solar wind, in comparison with Ulysses data. We assume an Alfvén wave flux with an averaged wavelength $\lambda < 0.5r_0$ such that the WKB approximation is valid. JPO used a wave period $P > 10^4\ s$ and discussed other possible damping mechanism for Alfvén waves such as nonlinear damping, resonant surface damping and turbulent damping. They show that these damping processes can produce acceptable winds in late-type giant stars. We extend the JPO model including a simulation of a strong damping of Alfvén waves, possibly due to a grain interaction, and the effect of radiation pressure in the wind acceleration. The changes in the velocity profile of the wind are compared with the pure plasma and isothermal model.

In section 2, we briefly describe the JPO model. In section 3, we present the inclusion of grain interaction with Alfvén waves in a non-isothermal temperature profile and the radiation pressure on grains. In section 4, we apply this magnetic-radiation model in a

K5 supergiant star and $\alpha\, Ori$ and discuss the results. In section 5 we summarize our conclusions.

2. The Model

The model suggested by JPO for mass loss in late-type stars takes into account our knowledge of coronal holes in the Sun. From surface, the magnetic field configuration is divergent, and after a transition radius, r_T, it becomes radial. The net non-radial expansion at this region is given by the factor of $F \equiv \frac{\Omega}{\Omega_0} = 7.26 \quad (r < 3R_\odot)$ (Munro & Jackson 1977). JPO used $F = 10$ for supergiant stars. They used the diverging geometry for the magnetic field suggested by Kuin & Hearn (1982) and Parker (1963) given by

$$A(r) = A(r_0)\left(\frac{r}{r_0}\right)^S , \tag{1}$$

where $A(r)$ is the cross section of the geometry at a radial distance r, r_0 is the initial radius and S is a parameter that determines the divergence of the geometry up to the transition radius r_T. The geometry is radial for $r > r_T$. JPO analyzed the effect of the change in the opening angle of the magnetic field on mass loss and terminal velocity of a "typical" K5 supergiant star. They used a flux of Alfvén waves as the acceleration mechanism of the wind, with non-linear, surface Alfvén wave absorption and turbulent damping. In the model, for a given initial damping length at r_0 an upper limit of S exist when mass loss stops, S_{max}. They found acceptable solutions for $3 < S < 7$. They showed for a range of initial damping lengths, $L_0 \sim 0.1 - 0.2r_0$, and an isothermal atmosphere, $T \sim 10^4\ K$, that a divergent geometry can produce the observed large mass loss rates and the small ratios $u_\infty/v_{e0} \sim 1/2$ of the supergiants.

The momentum equation of the wind may be deduced from continuity equation, conservation of momentum and action. The equation of motion (e.g., eq. [52] of Holzer, Fla

& Leer 1983; eq. [20] of JPO) is

$$\frac{1}{u}\frac{du}{dr} = \frac{Z}{r}\frac{N}{D}\,, \tag{2}$$

where u is the ejected velocity at the radius r, $Z = S$ for $r_0 \leq r \leq r_T$ and $Z = 2$ for $r > r_T$. N and D are given by

$$N \equiv v_{th}^2\left(1 - \frac{r}{v_{th}}\frac{dv_{th}}{dr}\right) + \frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{1+3M_A}{1+M_A}\right)\langle\delta v^2\rangle + \frac{1}{4}\frac{r}{L}\langle\delta v^2\rangle - \frac{1}{2Z}v_e^2\,, \tag{3}$$

$$D \equiv u^2 - v_{th}^2 - \frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{1+3M_A}{1+M_A}\right)\langle\delta v^2\rangle\,, \tag{4}$$

where $v_A = B/\sqrt{4\pi\rho}$, is the Alfvén velocity, $v_{th} = \sqrt{kT/(\mu m_H)}$, is the thermal velocity, $M_A = u/v_A$, is the Mach number, u is the wind velocity, L is the damping length of wave and $\langle\delta v^2\rangle$ the velocity associated to the magnetic field variation.

The equations were solved in 1-D, along the magnetic field lines, in a cylindrically symmetric geometry, assuming the wave flux consistent with $\delta B/B << 1$ and $P > 10^4\ s$, which is implicit in the initial damping length. In the present study the fluctuations in velocity, magnetic field and density are small allowing a linear treatment of Alfvén waves (Boynton & Torkelsson 1996), in despite of e.g. Ofman & Davila (1998) model for solar coronal holes, where the fluctuations of density and velocity were large and a nonlinear treatment of the Alfvén waves were necessary.

3. Grains simulation, temperature profile and radiation pressure

3.1. Simulation of strong damping of Alfvén waves

In JPO model the Alfvén waves were supposed to be damped by nonlinear process with damping length, L_1, resonant surface damping, L_2, and turbulent damping, L_3, separately although they may contribute jointly. The damping length for each case is given by:

$$L_1 = L_{10} \left[\frac{\langle \delta v^2 \rangle_0}{\langle \delta v^2 \rangle} \left(\frac{v_A}{v_{A0}} \right)^4 (1 + M_A) \right] , \tag{5}$$

$$L_2 = L_{20} \left(\frac{r_0}{r} \right)^{\frac{S}{2}} \left(\frac{v_A}{v_{A0}} \right)^2 (1 + M_A) , \tag{6}$$

$$L_3 = L_{30} \left(\frac{u + v_A}{u_0 + v_{A0}} \right) \left(\frac{r}{r_0} \right)^{\frac{S}{2}} \left(\frac{\langle \delta v^2 \rangle_0}{\langle \delta v^2 \rangle} \right)^{1/2} , \tag{7}$$

where L_{10}, L_{20}, L_{30} are the initial damping length for each mechanism and u_0 is the initial plasma velocity.

The above damping lengths were used in pure hydrogen plasma, *i.e.* there is no grain formation. Experimental (Elfimov et al. 1997), and theoretical (Havnes, Hartquist & Phillip 1989), researches show that Alfvén waves are suddenly damped in "dust plasma" environments. Impurities in the wind may increase the dissipation of the Alfvén waves. In the case of a stellar wind, inhomogeneous turbulent regions can occur immediately after the sonic point and they may manifest themselves as a sudden decrease in the damping length. We suppose that a sudden decrease in the damping length is correlated to the presence of grains although we do not treat them physically. This local dissipation may be simulated as an exponential decay of the damping length of the wave with distance given by:

$$L_{grain} \equiv L_r = L_{r_1} \exp \frac{r_1 - r}{A}, \tag{8}$$

where r_1 is the initial location in the wind where the grains can form which corresponds to the damping length L_{r_1} and A is a constant that shows how suddenly is the damping decay.

The determination of the grains formation region is highly uncertain, since this region can not be determined by the observations. Gail, Keller and Sedlmayr (1984), Gail and

Sedlmayr (1986, 1987) and Clayton et al. (1992, 1995) presented a theoretical nucleation model. Their results determines the region $1r_0 < r < 2r_0$ for SiO grains formation at cool supergiant envelopes, that is named here by "grain formation point" (GFP, hereafter).

Analyzing the dependence of the three previous damping mechanisms in the JPO model vs. r (eqs. 5, 6 and 7) we note that all of them have the same behavior in the inner regions of the wind. They initially rise and peak for $r < 2r_0$ as we can see in Figure 1. It means that considering the region of nucleation given by Gail, *et al.* (1984), the model is independent of which initial damping mechanism we use, since differences only occur at large distances. For comparison we plot in Figure 1 these three damping lengths as a function of r and the simulation of strong damping of Alfvén waves given by eq. 8. In our simulations we used the nonlinear mechanism (L_1) from the stellar surface until the region of grain formation and thereafter the wave damping assumes the form given in eq. 8.

[EDITOR PLACE FIGURE 1 HERE]

3.2. The temperature profile

Observations of the solar atmosphere show that the temperature ($\sim 6000\ K$) decreases reaching a minimum temperature at about 500 km above surface and increases slightly after, to $\sim 10^4\ K$, into the chromosphere, and then suddenly jumps through a narrow transition layer to $\sim 2 \times 10^6\,K$ in the corona. The solar wind is an extension and a super-sonic expansion of the solar corona into interplanetary space (Priest 1985).

As in the Sun, we assume that the same behavior of temperature profile takes place in the giant and supergiant stars. This profile must be consistent with grain formation, since the grains can be formed only at low temperatures regions.

In this work we introduce a temperature profile from a minimum $\sim 2000\ K$, above the photosphere, similar to the profile obtained by Hartmann & Avrett (1984) and a rapidly increase to $\sim 10^4$ K at $r = 2r_0$. This profile is in agreement with the Gail and Sedlmayr (1986, 1987) theory. Rodgers and Glasshold (1991) modelled the thermal equilibrium of the alpha Ori atmosphere, assuming that heating occurs by grain-gas collisions and by radiation absorption and the cooling occurs by adiabatic expansion and radiative de-excitation. They found a decreasing profile for $r > 2r_0$, from 10^4 to 2000 K at $r = 10r_0$. By this way, we combined the solar observations, for sudden increase of temperature in chromosphere, to the Rodgers & Glasshold (1991) model.

3.3. Radiation pressure

Many authors have tried to explain the mass loss processes of luminous stars like Wolf-Rayet using only radiation pressure as the accelaration mechanism. The great problem that arises in this star is called "the momentum problem", that consists of a radiation field momentum lower than the momentum of the wind (Abbott 1978): $\eta = \dot{M}u_\infty/(L_*/c)$ is in the range $3 - 30$ (Barlow, Smith & Willis 1981). dos Santos, Jatenco-Pereira & Opher (1993) presented a model for mass loss in Wolf-Rayet stars where a flux of Alfvén waves acting together with radiation pressure has enough momentum flux and energy flux to drive the wind. They assumed a plasma without dust and isothermal wind.

In order to verify if radiation pressure can be important in driving late-type star wind we include the radiation pressure into the wind equation using an effective escape velocity. Taking a pure radiative driven wind, the force exerted on a grain of radius a situated at a distance r from a star of luminosity $L_\star$ and mass $M_\star$ is:

$$F = \frac{\pi a^2 Q L}{4\pi r^2 c} \ , \tag{9}$$

where Q is the mean extinction efficiency factor of the grain, and $a \sim 10^{-5}\ cm$ is the grain radius value.

Using the Newton's Law, the wind equation becomes:

$$u\frac{du}{dr} + \frac{1}{\rho}\frac{dP}{dr} = -\frac{GM_\star}{r^2} + \frac{n_d}{\rho}F\ , \tag{10}$$

or

$$u\frac{du}{dr} + \frac{1}{\rho}\frac{dP}{dr} + \frac{GM_\star}{r^2}(1-\Gamma) = 0\ , \tag{11}$$

where the factor Γ is given by: $\Gamma = \frac{n_d}{\rho}\frac{\pi a^2 Q L_\star}{4\pi c G M_\star}$, with $\frac{n_d}{\rho}$ the ratio of the grain number density to the gas mass density.

If we call:

$$-\frac{1}{2}(v_{esc})^2 = -\frac{GM_\star}{r}(1-\Gamma)\ , \tag{12}$$

we define the effective escape velocity..

The escape velocity (12) is introduced in equation (3) in the region where the grains may affect the wind dynamics i.e. for $r > GFP$ (with $1.1r_0 < GFP < 2r_0$). We assume that most of the radiation is absorbed by the grains in this region. This radiation absorption is partially responsible for grain destruction and acceleration. These accelerated grains are dynamically connected to gas (Lafon & Berruyer 1991; Liberatore, Lafon & Berruyer 2001), so that the grains transfer momentum to the gas inducing the mass loss.

4. Results

This magnetic-radiation driven winds model consider a steady, cylindrically symmetric and 1-D wind emanating from a divergent magnetic field geometry with a temperature gradient similar to the Sun atmosphere. We assume that a flux of Alfvén waves, which properties were defined in earlier sections, are generated near the stellar surface and propagate outward. Since in the inner region of the wind the damping mechanisms already studied in JPO have the same behavior we so use the non-linear one untill the GFP, after that we use the damping length given by eq. 8. In the region of grain simulation ($r > GFP$) the radiation pressure in grains, as well as the grain damping, are taken into account from GFP to $300r_0$. We applied the model to the K5 supergiant, (model 6 of Hartmann & MacGregor (1980)) and $\alpha\, Ori$.

4.1. K5 supergiant star

We used $M_* = 16M_\odot$, $B = 10\ G$, $r_0 = 400R_\odot$, $L_* = 3 \times 10^4 L_\odot$, $\phi_{A0} = 3.36 \times 10^6\ erg\ cm^{-2}\ s^{-1}$, $\Gamma = 0.3$, $S = 5$, $A = 0.1$, the initial damping length $L_0 = 0.2r_0$ and the density on wind basis $\rho = 10^{-13} gcm^{-3}$. The typical velocity and mass loss rate observed for these stars are of order $u_\infty \sim \frac{1}{2}v_{e0}$ and $\dot{M} = 5 \times 10^{-7}\ M_\odot\ yr^{-1}$ (see e.g. Charbonneau & Macgregor 1995; Whitelock et al. 1995; Lamers & Cassinelli 1999; Lindqvist et al. 2000).

First we performed some simulations in an isothermal wind in order to evaluate the influence of the grains on the terminal velocities, found by previous pure plasma model. The introduction of damping by grains has an important effect in decreasing the flux of Alfvén waves much more effectively than the others damping mechanisms. As a consequence the terminal velocity of the wind reaches lower values as compared with JPO model. Since the grain formation region is not well known, we introduced the damping by grains at different distances from the stellar surface. The models show that as closer to the surface the grain if formed, lower is the asymptotic velocity. This effect is caused by the lose of wave energy by the strong damping, which leaves the wind unsupported against gravity. Decreasing the non-radial expansion factor S we can collimate the wave flux into a smaller area accelerating the gas more efficiently. On the other hand, we found that for $r > 2r_0$ the presence of grains is not important for the wind dynamics. The properties of the model can be seen in Table 1.

[EDITOR PLACE TABLE 1 HERE]

The velocity curve obtained by solving the equation of the wind (2) is plotted in Figure 2. For comparison we plot the velocity profile for a pure plasma and isothermal wind (dotted line), and for the magnetic-radiation model (solid line). We may note that the terminal velocity for the magnetic-radiation model is higher than the JPO concluding then that the introduction of grain and temperature profile affect the wind dynamics. The grain presence diminishes the asymptotic velocity, while the introduction of a temperature gradient increases the velocity. For a given density, temperature, magnetic field and GFP (which are all observable) we may adjust the model to the expected values of u/v_{eo} and $\dot{M}$, by varying the Alfvén wave flux. The best fit occurs for an initial Alfvén wave flux of $5 \times 10^6\ erg\ cm^{-2}\ s^{-1}$. On the figure 2, for a fixed GFP, we note that the terminal velocity is higher for lower S values due to the consideration of a radiative and non-isothermal atmosphere. In this sense increasing the S value we should have a decrease in the terminal velocity. However, for higher S values there was no sonic point. The observations can be reproduced if we increase the initial Alfvén flux to $5 \times 10^6\ erg\ cm^{-2}\ s^{-1}$. In the figure we mark the region where we assume the formation of grains.

[EDITOR PLACE FIGURE 2 HERE]

Although for supergiant stars the momentum problem is not present, it is interesting to estimate the value of η. For example, for K5 supergiant star using the above values we have $\eta \sim 0.4$, lower than 1, but still high considering normal absorption coefficients. Using the above values for the K5 supergiant star, we can estimate the ratio (ξ) of the initial energy flux of Alfvén waves to the radiation energy flux: $\xi = \phi_{A0}/(L_*/(4\pi r_0^2)) \sim 10^{-3}$. This value indicates that the energy flux of Alfvén waves is only a few percent of the stellar luminosity. This relation may be used to estimate the Alfvén wave flux on other stars like Betelgeuse, for example.

4.2. Betelgeuse ($\alpha\, Ori$)

Betelgeuse observations give the terminal velocity, $u_\infty \sim 15\ km\ s^{-1}$ and a mass loss rate $\dot{M} \sim 2 - 5 \times 10^{-6}\ M_\odot\ yr^{-1}$ (Loup et al. 1993; Knapp & Woodhams 1991). We applied the magnetic-radiation model to this star with a temperature profile given by Rodgers and Glasshold (1991). We used the following initial conditions: $M_* = 8M_\odot$, $B = 10\ G$, $r_0 = 300R_\odot$, $L_* = 10^4 L_\odot$ and $\Gamma = 0.7$, $S = 5$, $L_0 = 0.2r_0$ and the GFP located at $r = 1.24r_0$. The Alfvén wave flux can be determined by $\xi = 10^{-3}$. We found $\phi_{A0} = 6.9 \times 10^6\ erg\ cm^{-2}\ s^{-1}$. As in the previous section, we performed the calculations until $r = 300r_o$. However, we show in Figure 3 the resultant velocity profile until $r = 10r_0$ since for $r > 10r_0$ the curve becomes flattened showing the same terminal velocity.

[EDITOR PLACE FIGURE 3 HERE]

We obtained $u_\infty \sim 16\ km\ s^{-1}$ and $\dot{M} = 4 \times 10^{-6}\ M_\odot\ yr^{-1}$, very similar to the observations. Betelgeuse also has high UV emission at $r \sim 2r_0$ region. This emission may be connected to the MHD waves damping (Gilliand & Dupree 1996) and with a sudden heating of this region, which is in agreement with our model.

5. Conclusions

In this work we present a model to study mass loss processes in late-type stars, using an Alfvén waves flux added to radiation pressure as accelerating mechanisms. We simulate the presence of grains in the sense of a strong damping of Alfvén waves in the region $r > GFP$ (which is about $1.1 r_0 < GFP < 2r_0$). In this region we added the radiation pressure as an acceleration mechanism of the wind. In accordance with grain formation theories and in agreement with observations, we took into account a temperature profile for the wind going from $\sim 2000\ K$ above the photosphere, with a rapidly grown to $\sim 10^4 K$ at $r = 2r_0$ and a decreasing profile for $r > 2r_0$. The models show that as closer as to the surface the grain is formed, lower is the asymptotic velocity and that the wind velocity is not changed if the region of grain formation is located above $2r_0$. Although a number of hypotheses have been made this hybrid model can lead to a more realistic wind and gives more constraint in the physical parameters of the wind. The model was applied to a K5 supergiant star and Betelgeuse, resulting in values that agrees with observations. Further studies will include the physical parameters of the grains as well as their distribution in the wind.

D.F.G and V.J.P. would like to thank the Brazilian agency CNPq for financial and partial support, respectively. D.F.G. would like to thank the Brazilian agency FAPESP for providing computational equipment under grant (No. 96/00677-3). The authors would like also to thank the project PRONEX/FINEP (No. 41.96.0908.00) for partial support.

REFERENCES

Abbott, D.C. 1978, ApJ, 225, 893

Airapetian, V. S.; Ofman, L.; robinson, R. D.; Carpenter, K. & Davila, J. 2000, ApJ, 528, 965

Barlow M. J., Smith, L. J. & Willis, A. J. 1981, MNRAS, 196, 101

Belcher,J. W. & Davis, L. Jr. 1971, J. Geophys. Res., 76, 3534

Belcher,J. W. & Olbert, S. 1975, ApJ, 200, 369

Boynton, G. C., Torkelsson, U. 1996, A&A, 308, 299

Clayton, G. C., Whitney, B. A., Stanford, S. A., Drilling, J. S. 1992, ApJ, 397, 652

Clayton, G. C., Kelly, D. M., Lacy, J. H., Little-Maressin, I. R., Feldman, P. A., Bernath, P. F. 1995, AJ, 109, 2096

Carpenter, K. G., Robinson, R. D., Harper, G. M., Bennett, P. D., Brown, A.k Mullan, D. J. 1999, ApJ, 521m 382

Cassinelli, J. P. 1979, ARAA, 17, 275

Castor, J. J. 1981, "Physical Processes in Red Giants", eds. I. Iben Jr., A. Renzini, Dordrecht, Reidel

Charbonneau, P. & Macgregor, K. B. 1995, ApJ, 454, 901

Davila, J. M. 1985, ApJ, 291, 328

Deutsch, A. J. 1956, ApJ, 123, 210

De Pontieu, B., Martens, P. & Hudson, H. 2001, ApJ, 558, 859 dos Santos, L.C., Jatenco-Pereira, V., & Opher, R. 1993, ApJ, 81, 119

Doschek, G. A. et al. 1997, ApJ, 482, L109

Elfimov, A. G., Galvão, R. O., Nascimento, I. C., & Amarante-Segundo, G. 1997, Plasm. Phys. Control. Fusion, 39, 1551

Elitzur, M. & Ivezic, Z. 2001, MNRAS, 327, 403

Gail, H.P., Keller, R. & Sedlmayr, E. 1984, A&A, 320, 332

Gail, H.P. & Sedlmayr, E. 1986, A&A, 148, 183

Gail, H.P. & Sedlmayr, E. 1987, A&A, 177, 186

Gilliand, R. & Dupree, A. 1996, ApJ, 463, L29

Gray, D. 2000, ApJ, 532, 487

Gray, D. 2001, PASP, 113, 1378

Habing, H. 1996, A&AR, 7, 25

Haisch, B. M., Linsky, J. L. & Basri, G. S. 1980, ApJ, 235, 519

Hartmann, L. & Avrett, E. 1984, ApJ, 284, 238

Hartmann, L., Edwards, S. & Avrett, E. 1982, ApJ, 261, 279

Hartmann, L. & MacGregor, K.B. 1980, ApJ, 242, 260

Havnes, O., Hartquist, T. & Phillip, W. 1989, A&A, 217, L13

Holzer, T. E., Fla, T. & Leer, E. 1983 ApJ, 275, 808

Hollweg, J. V. 1981, Solar Phys., 70, 25

Jatenco-Pereira, V. & Opher, R. 1989a, A&A, 209, 327 (JPO)

Jatenco-Pereira, V. & Opher, R. 1989b, ApJ, 344, 513

Jatenco-Pereira, V. & Opher, R. 1989c, MNRAS, 236, 1

Jatenco-Pereira, V., Opher, R. & Yamamoto, L. C, 1994, ApJ, 432, 409

Jura, M. 1986, ApJ, 303, 327

Knapp, G. & Woodhams, M. 1991, ASP Conf. Series, 35, 199

Kuin, N. P. M. & Hearn, A., G. 1982, A&A, 114, 303

Lafon, J., Berruyer, N. 1991, A&AR, 2, 249

Lamers, H. J. G. L. M. & Cassinelli, J. P. 1999, "Introduction to Stellar Winds", H.J.G.L.M. Lamers and J.P. Cassinelli (eds.), Cambridge University Press

Leer, E. & Holzer, T. E. 1980, J. Geophys. Res., 85, 4681

Liberatore, S., Lafon, J. & Berruyer, N. 2001, A&A, 377, 522

Lindqvist, M.; Shoier, F. L.; Lucas, R. & Olofsson, H. 2000, A&A, 361, 1036

Linsky, J. L. 1987, "Circunstellar Matter", I. Appenzeller and C. Jordan (eds.)

Loup, C., Forveille, T., Omont, A. & Paul, J. 1993, A&A, 99, 291

MacGregor, K. B. & Charbonneau, P. 1994, ApJ, 430, 387

MacGregor, K. B. & Stencel R. E. 1992, ApJ, 397, 644

Munro, R. H. & Jackson, B. V. 1977, ApJ, 213, 874

Ofman & Davila, J. M. 1998, JGR, 103, 23677

Opher, R. & Pereira, V. J. S. 1986, ApJ, L107

Parker, E. N. 1963 “Interplanetary Dynamical Processes”, Wiley, New York

Priest, E. R. 1985 “Solar System Magnetic Fields”, D. Reidel

Rodgers, B. & Glasshold, A.E. 1991, ApJ, 382, 606

Underhill, A. B. 1983, ApJ, 268, L127

Usmanov, A. V., Goldstein, M. L., Besser, B. P., Fritzer, J. M. 2000, JGR, 105, 12675

Vasconcelos, M. J., Jatenco-Pereira, V. & Opher, R. 2000, ApJ, 534, 967

Weymann, R. 1962, ApJ, 136, 844

Whitelock, P.; Menzies, J.; Feast, M., Catchpole, R.; Marang, F. & Carter, B. 1995, Ap&SS, 230, 495

Woolf, N. J. & Ney, E. P. 1969, ApJ, 155, 181

Young, J., Baldwin, J., Boysen, R. et al. 2000, MNRAS, 315, 635

This manuscript was prepared with the AAS LaTeX macros v4.0.

Figure captions

Fig. 1.— The behavior of damping length as a function of the distance for resonant damping (solid curve), nonlinear damping (dashed curve), turbulent damping (dashed-dot curve) and by grains (dotted curve). The initial damping length is $L_0 = 0.2r_0$ for the three cases. For damping by grains (eq. 8) we used $r_1 = 1.32r_0$, $L_{r1} = 0.75r_0$ and $A = 0.1$.

Fig. 2.— Velocity profile for JPO homogeneous and isothermal model (dotted line) and for the hybrid model including grain presence, non-isothermal atmosphere and radiation pressure (solid line). The grain formation region is marked in the figure.

Fig. 3.— Velocity profile as a function of distance for $\alpha\,Ori$. In this non-isothermal model we used a flux of Alfvén waves added to radiation pressure as an acceleration mechanism for the wind.

This figure "FIG1.JPG" is available in "JPG" format from:

http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0207342v1

This figure "FIG2.JPG" is available in "JPG" format from:

http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0207342v1

This figure "FIG3.JPG" is available in "JPG" format from:

http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0207342v1